



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/675,267	09/29/2000	Ivan Chow	Sprint IDF 1421 (4000-012 1016		
28003 7	7590 10/04/2004		EXAMINER		
SPRINT		ALI, SYED J			
6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			2127		
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i.		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	·			
		09/675,2	67	CHOW ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit				
		Syed J Al	i	2127				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. loo) days, a reply within the state atutory period will apply and we will, by statute, cause the approximation.	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status			•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>04 August 200</u> 4	<u>!</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)[10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•			` '			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rule	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	itage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	152)			

Art Unit: 2127

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed August 4, 2004, 2004. Claims 1-15 are presented for examination.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this office action can be found in a prior office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. Claims 1-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Desnoyers et al. (USPN 6,098,104) (hereinafter Desnoyers).
- 4. As per claim 1, Desnoyers teaches the invention as claimed, including a method for a client application operating in a single-threaded architecture controlled by a user to request and receive multiple messages asynchronously from a destination application (col. 1 lines 19-22; col. 2 lines 6-10), the method comprising:

the client application sending a first request to a software agent, the client application and the software agent operating in a single-threaded architecture (col. 4 lines 52-56);

the client application sending a second request to a software agent prior to receiving a response to the first request from the software agent (col. 4 lines 52-56);

the client application continuing on in execution in its single-threaded environment prior to receiving responses to the first request or the second request from the software agent (col. 1 lines 23-34);

Art Unit: 2127

the software agent registering the first request and forwarding the first request to the destination application (col. 4 lines 56-65);

the software agent beginning to cyclically poll the destination application for a first response to the first request (col. 8 line 65 - col. 9 line 15);

the software agent in between polling cycles registering the second request and forwarding the second request to the destination application (col. 4 lines 56-65);

the software agent beginning to cyclically poll the destination application for a second response to the second request, wherein such polling cycles in sequence with the polling for the first response to the first request (col. 8 line 65 - col. 9 line 15);

the destination application generating the first response to the first request and forwarding the first response to the software agent in response to polling from the software agent (col. 6 lines 40-43; col. 7 lines 22-41);

the software agent receiving the first response from the destination application, ceasing cyclically polling the destination application for the first response, and storing the first response associated with the first request, wherein such actions of receiving, ceasing, and storing occur in between the continuing polling cycles (col. 8 lines 43-64);

the destination application generating the second response to the second request and forwarding the second response to the software agent in response to polling from the software agent (col. 8 line 43 - col. 9 line 15);

the software agent receiving the second response from the destination application, ceasing cyclically polling the destination application for the second response, and storing the second response associated with the second request, wherein such actions of receiving, ceasing,

Art Unit: 2127

and storing occur in between the continuing polling cycles (col. 8 line 65 - col. 9 line 15; col. 9 lines 50 - col. 10 line 5);

the client application polling the software agent for the first response to the first request and the software agent responding by forwarding the first response from storage to the client application and deleting the first response from storage, wherein the actions of responding by forwarding and deleting occur in between the continuing polling cycles (col. 8 line 65 - col. 9 line 15; col. 9 lines 50 - col. 10 line 5);

the client application polling the software agent for the second response to the second request and the software agent responding by forwarding the second response from storage to the client application and deleting the second response from storage, wherein the actions of responding by forwarding and deleting occur in between the continuing polling cycles (col. 8 line 65 - col. 9 line 15; col. 9 lines 50 - col. 10 line 5).

- 5. As per claim 2, Desnoyers teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of claim 1, wherein the action of the client application of sending the second request occurs after the actions of the software agent of registering the first request and forwarding the first request to the destination application and of beginning to cyclically poll the destination application for a first response to the first request (col. 4 lines 52-65).
- 6. As per claim 3, Desnoyers teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of claim 1, wherein the action of the client application of sending the second request occurs before the actions of the software agent of registering the first request and forwarding the first request to

Art Unit: 2127

the destination application and of beginning to cyclically poll the destination application for a first response to the first request (col. 4 lines 52-65).

7. As per claim 4, Desnoyers teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of claim 1, wherein the action of the destination application of generating the second response to the second request occurs prior to the action of the destination application of generating the first response to the first request (col. 6 lines 40-43; col. 7 lines 22-41); and

wherein the action of the software agent of receiving the second response from the destination application, and performing the actions of ceasing polling and storing related to the second response occur prior to the actions of the software agent of receiving the first response from the destination application, and performing the actions of ceasing polling and storing related to the first response (col. 8 line 65 - col. 9 line 15; col. 9 lines 50 - col. 10 line 5).

8. As per claim 5, Desnoyers teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of claim 1, wherein the action of the destination application of generating the second response to the second request occurs after the action of the destination application of generating the first response to the first request (col. 6 lines 40-43; col. 7 lines 22-41); and

wherein the action of the software agent of receiving the second response from the destination application, and performing the actions of ceasing polling and storing related to the second response occur after the actions of the software agent of receiving the first response from the destination application, and performing the actions of ceasing polling and storing related to the first response (col. 8 line 65 - col. 9 line 15; col. 9 lines 50 - col. 10 line 5).

Art Unit: 2127

lines 50 - col. 10 line 5).

9. As per claim 6, Desnoyers teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of claim 4, wherein the action of the client application polling the software agent for the second response to the second request and the subsequent forwarding and deleting actions related to the second response to the second request occur prior to the action of the client application polling the software agent for the first response to the first request and the subsequent forwarding and deleting action related to the first response to the first request (col. 8 line 65 - col. 9 line 15; col. 9

- 10. As per claim 7, Desnoyers teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of claim 4, wherein the action of the client application polling the software agent for the second response to the second request and the subsequent forwarding and deleting actions related to the second response to the second request occur after the action of the client application polling the software agent for the first response to the first request and the subsequent forwarding and deleting actions related to the first response to the first request (col. 8 line 65 col. 9 line 15; col. 9 lines 50 col. 10 line 5).
- 11. As per claim 8, Desnoyers teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of claim 1, wherein the action of the client application polling the software agent for the first response to the first request and the subsequent forwarding and deleting actions related to the first response to the first request occur prior to the actions of the destination application generating the second response to the second request and forwarding the second response to the

software agent and prior to subsequent actions of the software agent and of the client application involving the second response (col. 8 line 65 - col. 9 line 15; col. 9 lines 50 - col. 10 line 5).

12. As per claim 9, Desnoyers teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of claim 1, wherein the destination application is resident on a server remote to the client application and to the software agent (col. 3 lines 22-38); and

wherein the actions of the software agent of storing the responses received from the destination application stores such responses on a server local to the software agent (col. 3 lines 22-38; col. 4 lines 52-60); and

wherein the actions of the software agent of responding by forwarding the responses from storage to the client application forwards such responses from storage on a server local to the software agent (col. 3 lines 22-38; col. 4 lines 52-60).

13. As per claim 10, Desnoyers teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of claim 9, wherein the software agent is resident on a server local to the client application (col. 3 lines 22-38); and

wherein the actions of the software agent of storing the responses received from the destination application stores such responses on a server local to the client application (col. 3 lines 22-38; col. 4 lines 52-60); and

wherein the actions of the software agent of responding by forwarding the responses from storage to the client application forwards such responses from storage on a server local to the client application (col. 3 lines 22-38; col. 4 lines 52-60).

52-65); and

14. As per claim 11, Desnoyers teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of claim 9, wherein the remote destination application comprises a destination server application (col. 3 lines 22-38; col. 4 lines 52-65) and a destination client application which manages requests to and responses from the destination server application (col. 3 lines 22-38; col. 4 lines

wherein the actions of forwarding the requests to the destination application comprise forwarding the requests to the destination client application (col. 4 lines 56-65); and

wherein the actions of the software agent of cyclically polling the destination application comprise cyclically polling the destination client application for the responses to the request (col. 8 line 65 - col. 9 line 15); and

wherein the actions of the destination application forwarding the responses to the software agent in response to polling from the software agent comprise the destination client application forwarding responses to the software agent (col. 6 lines 40-43; col. 7 lines 22-41).

15. As per claim 12, Desnoyers teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of clam 1, further comprising:

the client application, in conjunction with sending a first request to the software agent, sending a command to register a callback associated with such first request (col. 4 lines 52-60);

the software agent, in conjunction with the actions of receiving the first response from the destination application, ceasing cyclically polling the destination application for the first response, and storing the first response associated with the first request, further takes the action

Art Unit: 2127

in response to the callback of notifying the user that the first response has been received (col. 8

line 65 - col. 9 line 15; col. 9 lines 50 - col. 10 line 5).

16. As per claim 13, Desnoyers teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of

claim 12, wherein the command to register a callback comprises a command resulting in

instantiation of a callback object associated with the software agent (col. 4 lines 52-65; col. 8 line

65 - col. 9 line 15; col. 9 lines 50 - col. 10 line 5); and

wherein the action of the software agent of notifying the user comprises the callback

object responding to the storage of the first response associated with the first request by notifying

the user that the first response has been received (col. 4 lines 52-65; col. 8 line 65 - col. 9 line 15;

col. 9 lines 50 - col. 10 line 5).

17. As per claim 15, Desnoyers teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of

claim 12, wherein the action of notifying the user that the first response has been received

comprises notifying the client application that the first response has been received and the client

application interrupting its thread of execution to notify the user that the first response has been

received in response to the notification from the software agent (col. 4 lines 52-65; col. 8 line 65

- col. 9 line 15; col. 9 lines 50 - col. 10 line 5).

Page 9

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

18. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hollberg in view of Chang et al. (USPN 6,338,078) (hereinafter Chang) in view of Burns (USPN 6,098,090).

19. As per claim 14, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, including the following limitations not shown by Desnoyers:

the method of claim 12, wherein the action of notifying the user that the first response has been received comprises sending a pop-up to the user notifying the user (col. 4 lines 12-23).

20. Burns teaches the invention as claimed, including the following limitations not shown by Desnoyers or Chang:

the notification of the user is done without interrupting the thread of execution of the client application (col. 4 line 63 - col. 5 line 21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Desnoyers with 21. Chang since the use of pop up dialogs allows the immediate notification to the user that an event has occurred. Particularly in the case where the event cannot be immediately processed, the pop up would allow the user to be aware of the fact that a response has been received and will be serviced shortly. Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add Burns to the combination of Desnoyers and Chang since in circumstances such as when the client application is executing a high priority task, it may be critical that the task finish completion before other processing is done. Specifically, it is well known to assign priorities to tasks within a system. If a determination were made that the currently executing task is of a higher importance than the task that is awaiting a response, it would be most beneficial to continue processing the current task to completion before servicing the response.

Conclusion

22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Szymanski et al. (USPN 5,566,337) teaches asynchronous notification of available messages using a polling technique.

Guo et al. (USPN 5,577,043) teaches a polling system that allows an adaptable amount of asynchronous transfer to reduce the overhead incurred in message passing.

Inoue (USPN 5,694,543) teaches a method of asynchronous messaging using a polling technique in a networked environment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Syed J Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3769. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-5:30, 2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai T An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2127

Page 12

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

 \mathcal{O}^{\bullet}

Syed Ali September 28, 2004 MENG-ALA. AN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100